PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persone are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Application Number	09/878,787						
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	June 11, 2001							
FORM	First Named Inventor	Goddard, et al.							
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	Art Unit	2154							
	Examiner Name	Jinsong Hu							
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5		Attorney Docket Number	2186-000002/US						
	ENCLO	SURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Drawin		g(s)	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)						
Fee Atlached Licensi		ing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences						
		<b>n</b>	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)						
After Final	After Final Petilic Provis			Proprietary Information					
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power Chang	of Attorney, Revocation ge of Correspondence Address	Status Letter						
Extension of Time Request	Extension of Time Request			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):					
Fynness Abandonment Request		est for Refund							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement									
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			arks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750.						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application									
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53									
SIGNA	TURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGENT						
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Michael J. Thomas	i i	Reg. No. 39,857					
Signature Wall James									
Date April 21, 2005									
		ATE OF TRANSMISSION/MA							
I hereby certify that this correspondence Service with sufficient postage as first Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date s	class mail	in an envelope addressed to:	O or deposited Commissioner	with the United States Postal for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,					
Typed or printed name Michael 1/Ti	T 11	Express Med Lebel No.							
Signature	Momas	Date	April 21, 2005						

This collection of information is required by \$7 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiatly is governed by \$5 U.S.C/122 and \$7 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete. Including gathering, process) an application. Confidentiatly is governed by \$5 U.S.C/122 and \$7 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete. Including the including this time preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time preparing, and submitting the complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sant to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sant to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sant to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing the form Solved Patents of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S. Department of Comments of the White Information Officer, U.S

U.S. Palant and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005		Filing Date		<del></del> -	Complete in Comp		
				09/879,787			
				June 11, 2001			
			First Named Inventor		Goddard, et al.		
Effective 10/01/2004. Petent fees are subject to annual revision.			Examiner Nama		Jinsong Hu		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Art Unit		2154		
			Attorney Docket No. 2188-000002/US				
ME	HOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)					LCULATION (continued)	
		3. AD	DITION	AL FE	ES BEARN		
Check DC	edit card	Large Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee		
☑ Deposit Account:			(\$)	Code	(\$)		e Pald
Deposit			130 50	2051 2052	65 25	Surcharge - late filing fee or outh Surcharge - late provisional filing fee	
Account	080750	1052	° ∪	2002	20	or cover sheet.	
Number		1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
Deposit Account Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.		1812	2,520	1912 1804	2,520 920*	For filing a request for reexamination Requesting publication of SIR prior to	
Name		1804	920*		1.840*	Examiner action Requesting publication of SIR after	
Theres fools in	horized to: (check all that spply) Icated below 🔯 Credit any overpayments	1805	1,840*	1906	1,040	Examiner action	
Charge any add	tional fee(s) or any underpayment of fees under 37 CFR	1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply within first month	
T Charge fee(a) In	dicated balow, except for the filling fee	1252	45D	2252	225	Extension for reply within second month	
the above denti	ed deposit secount.  FEE CALCULATION	1253	1020	2253	510	Extension for raply within third month	1020
		1254	1,590	2254	7 <del>95</del>	Extension for reply within fourth month	
-	LING FEE Small Entity	1255	2,180	2255	1080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
NA.	es Fee Fee Degariation	1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeni	
ode (\$)	code (\$) Fee Paid	1402	500	2402	250	Filing a bhefin support of an appeal	
•	011 150 Utility filling fee	1403	1000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing	
	012 100 Design filling fee	1452	500	2452	250 750	Petition to revive - unavoldable Petition to revive - unintentional	
	014 150 Ruissue filing fee	1453 1501	1500 1400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or relesse)	
1005 200 :	2005 100 Provisional filling (as	1502	800	2502	400	Design Issue fee	
SUBTOTAL (1) (3)0			130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
			50	1807	60	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q) Submission of Information Disclosure	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Extra Fee from Fee			180	1806	180	Strat	
Total Claims		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each palent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
dependent leima	3- • 0 X - 0	1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(6))	
ultiple spendent	- 0	1810	790	2910	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
Large Entity Small Entity			790	2801	395	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
Code (\$)	nde (\$) Code (\$)		foo (spe	d(y)	_		
1202 50	2202 25 Cleims in excess of 20 2201 100 Independent claims in excess of 3		"Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)1020				
1201 200 1203 380	2201 100 Independent claims in excess of 3 2203 180 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	4. SEARCH/EXAMINATION FEES					
1204 200	** Reiszus Independent disims over	1111	500	2111		Utility Search Fee	-
	onginal patent  → Relevus stalms in excess of 20 and	1112	100	2112		Dasign Search Fee Plant Search Fee	-
1205 50	2205 25 over original patent	1113	500 500	2114		Reissus Search Fea	
SUBTOTAL (2) (5) 0			200	2311		Utility Examination Fee	
			130	2312		Design Examination Fee	<u></u>
			160	2313		Plant Examination Fee Release Examination Fee	-
			€00	2314	400	SUBTOTAL (4) (5)0	
**or number pre	dousty cald, if greater, For Releases, see above						
SUBMITTED BY	Michael Amonths Registration No.					Complete-(if applicable)	
Name (Print/Typs)			9,857		Telephone 314-725-7500		
Signature	سسب ۱				Date April 21, 2005		
C-A-WID-B	The think the same	<del></del>	/I - C	450 00 00	lafa-a-a	nton should not be	

## PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/878,787

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Filing Date:

June 11, 2001

APR 2 1 2005

Applicant:

Goddard et al.

Group Art Unit:

2154

Examiner:

Jinsong Hu

Title:

System and Method for an Application-Space Server Cluster

Attorney Docket:

2186-000002/US (formerly UNL 3055.1)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement mailed December 22, 2004, Applicant provisionally elects the "invention" of Group I (claims 1-2, 7-10, 12 and 17-19) with traverse.

Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction requirement is improper for failing to comply with Patent Office guidelines. Further, examination of all pending claims would not be unduly burdensome on the Examiner for the reasons explained below. Accordingly, the restriction requirement should be withdrawn.

The Patent Office indicated Group 1 (claims 1-2, 7-10, 12, and 17-19) is drawn to a system and method for delivering data to user based on user's request. However, independent claim 20 (Group II) recites a "system responsive to client requests for

delivering data via a network to a client," independent claim 21 (Group II) recites a "method for delivering data to a client in response to client requests," and independent claim 35 (Group III) recites a "system for delivering data to a client in response to client requests." Thus, because independent claims 20, 21 and 35 are each drawn to a system or method for delivering data to a client based on a client's request, these claims (and the claims depending therefrom) should also be included in Group I.

Additionally, independent claims 1 and 17 recite systems that interrelate a dispatch server and network servers as ring members of a token-passing ring network. Therefore, a search and examination of claims 1 and 17 will necessarily overlap with the claims of Group II, which the Patent Office indicated are drawn to a system or method for organizing a ring network and broadcasting messages in a ring network.

Accordingly, examining the claims of Group I and II at the same time would not present an undue burden on the Examiner.

Further, independent claims 1 and 17 recite the ring network as being "fault-tolerant." Therefore, a search and examination of claims 1 and 17 will necessarily overlap with the claims of Group III, which the Patent Office indicated are drawn to a system or method for fault detection and recovery in a ring network. Accordingly, examining the claims of Group I and III at the same time would also not present an undue burden on the Examiner.

Further still, claims 27-28 and 32-33 (Group IV) recite additional steps for the method of claim 21, which is directed to organizing servers as ring members of a ring network, detecting a fault, and recovering from the fault. Therefore, these claims should

be examined together with independent claim 21. And as noted above, claim 21 should be examined together with independent claims 1 and 17.

For all these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction requirement is improper (see MPEP §806.04), and that examination of all pending claims would not present an undue burden on the Examiner (see MPEP §803). Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

Respectfully submitted.

Dated: 4-21-05

Michael J. Thomas, Reg. 39,857

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. 7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400 St. Louis, MO 63105 (314) 726-7500